UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/661,977	09/11/2003	Benjamin L. Viglianti	180/157/2/2	8988
JENKINS, WILSON, TAYLOR & HUNT, P. A. Suite 1200 UNIVERSITY TOWER 3100 TOWER BLVD.,			EXAMINER	
			CHAO, ELMER M	
DURHAM, NC			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3737	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/02/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/661,977	VIGLIANTI ET AL.				
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ELMER CHAO	3737				
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>120</u>	/Notice of Appeal Filed				
(1) <u>ELMER CHAO</u> .	(3) <u>Leon Leglider</u> .					
(2) <u>Arles A. Taylor</u> .	(4) <u>Ben Viglianti;K. Gopa</u>	laarishna; Eric Wagner.				
Date of Interview: 28 October 2009	Time: <u>4:00</u>					
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:						
Part I.						
Rejection(s) discussed: Rejections involving Lang et al. (WO 98/44910)						
Claims discussed: 8, 19, 29, 42, 43, 44, and 45						
Prior art documents discussed: Lang et al. (WO 98/44910)						
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner proposed cancelling 19, 43, and all claims dependent from because it is the Office's opinion that the claims are still taught by the prior art. Examiner also suggested that claim 45 be amended to include the details of the "monitoring" from claim 8.						
Part III.						
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 						
/BRIAN CASLER/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3737 /Elmer Chao/ Examiner, Art Unit 3737 (AI	oplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate))			